

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,281	02/02/2004	Juergen Otten	4606	9656	
21553 759	90 08/25/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FASSE PATENT ATTORNEYS, P.A.			HOWELL, I	HOWELL, DANIEL W	
P.O. BOX 726 HAMPDEN, ME 04444-0726			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3722		
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/771,281	OTTEN, JUERGEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel W. Howell	3722			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 12,14,15,17 and 18 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 13,16 and 19 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application fity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-2-04, 8-3-05.	4)				

Application/Control Number: 10/771,281

Art Unit: 3722

- 1. Claims 8 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities: (Fig.4) should be removed from claim 8, and (Figs. 2 and 3) should be removed from claim 15. On line 2 of claim 8, the numeral should be in parenthesis. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 12, 14, 15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bellek (2539223) in view of German 3927971. Bellek shows a support/template 33 having a plurality of holes to be drilled on workpiece 35 and an attachment 14 which fits on the front of drill 11. The attachment has an attachment bushing (note the lead line for 14 in figure 4) which is attached to the drill body, a latch bushing 20 which slides within the attachment bushing, and a screw 22a for rigidly connecting/latching the latch bushing to guide channel 23. The outside of the guide channel has a shape matching the shape of holes 36 for securing the guide channel with the support/template 33. The guide channel is successively placed in holes 36 of the template in order to drill a plurality of holes. Drilling many holes will cause the operator to get tired, and a hard workpiece will also tire the operator. German '971 reduces operator fatigue by equipping the drill 4 with a cord/controller 10 which wraps around the workpiece 2 and is tightened by rotating a lever/operating member 26 in order to feed the drill axially. Such a lever feed greatly reduces operator fatigue. It is considered to have been obvious to have provided Bellek with the lever/cord feed system as shown by German '971 in order to reduce operator fatigue.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,281 Page 3

Art Unit: 3722

4. Claims 1-11 are allowed.

5. Claims 13, 16, and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Any inquiry concerning the content of this communication from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Howell, whose telephone number is 571-272-4478. The examiner's office hours are typically about 10 am until 6:30 pm, Monday through Friday. The examiner's supervisor, Monica Carter, may be reached at 571-272-4475.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions directly into the Group at FAX number to 571-273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a USPTO deposit account. Please identify Examiner Daniel Howell of Art Unit 3722 at the top of your cover sheet.

Daniel W. Howell Primary Examiner

fourly

Art Unit 3722